

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,550	11/25/2003	Suen Ching Yan	03-12538 9734		
25189	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER		
CISLO & THOMAS, LLP			HANEY, RICHALE LEE		
233 WILSHI SUITE 900	RE BLVD	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA MONICA, CA 90401-1211			3765		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,550	YAN, SUEN CHING				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richale L. Haney	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	anuary 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the second state of the second state	epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 – 5, 7 – 10, 12 – 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 2002/0029404) in view of Park (US 6,446,266) and Goldsmith (US D93,212). The device of Friedman discloses that headwear having six gores (Figure 1a) forming a lower peripheral edge, a sweatband (15) spanning an inverted "U" shaped opening (35) and a ribbon terminating at two ends is known in the art (25a, 25b). Friedman teaches an improvement comprising two fabric pieces secured to the body of the cap at opposite ends of the inverted "U" shaped opening (125a, 125b), a generally oval shaped visor (120) obliquely coupled to the crown (See Fig. 2). The device of Friedman is lacking a visor that generally circumscribes the lower peripheral edge and gores comprising multiaxially stretchable fabric. The device of Goldsmith shows headwear having a oval shaped visor generally circumscribing the lower peripheral edge. The device of Park discloses a hat having a sweatband that spans an inverted "U" shaped opening at the rear of a cap comprising an elastomeric section (Column 2, lines 60 -63) and gores that are formed from multiaxially stretchable fabric (Column 2, line 42). It would have been obvious to modify the device of Friedman by enlarging the visor to circumscribe the lower edge and to incorporate stretch into the

Application/Control Number: 10/723,550 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

gores and the sweatband as taught by Goldsmith and Park, respectively in order to obtain a device which provides greater protection from the sun and improved fit characteristics. It is noted by the examiner that chosing the same color for the visor, first and second ribbon would have been an obvious design choice. No additional patentable weight is given to the limitation requiring specific color placement.

3. Claims 6, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman, Park and Goldsmith as applied to claims 1 – 5, 7 –10, 12 – 14 and 16 above in further view of Beckerman (US 5,615,415). The modified device of Friedman substantially discloses the claimed invention, but is lacking unilateral stretch. The device of Park teaches that the material of the crown is stretchable and is therefore interpreted to be mulitaxially stretchable. The device of Beckerman teaches a crown having gores formed from material having stretch in only one direction (Column 3, lines 32 –42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Friedman, Park and Goldsmith above, to have stretch in only one direction as taught by Beckerman in order to obtain a headwear device that will fit a variety of headsizes (Column 3, lines 36 –37).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Capelle (US 1,571,107), Borkovic et al. (US 5,603,121), Navis (PCT/US91/00876) show headwear having ribbon secured to the body of the cap each having a free end.

Page 4

Lee (US 6,298,659) and Cho (US 5,715,540) disclose headwear having stretch in either an allover or one way direction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richale L. Haney whose telephone number is 571-272-8689. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on 571 -272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richale L. Haney Patent Examiner Art Unit 3765 March 28, 2006

RLH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER